

1873-016 Chancery Causes: Joseph A. Suttle vs. Alexander D. Stuart &
Lee Co.

Sprinkle

CA-Estate Dispute
T-Property

To The Hon Henry J Morgan Judge of the
County Court of Lee County
Yam Arator Joseph A Suttle humbly complaining
represents that his father deceased
this life. Several years since leaving him his
only heir and distributee of his estate. At
the death of his father he was an infant only
of the age of years and at the
time 18 of the Court of said County one
Alexander D Stuhl became his guardian and
executive bond with Ira G Sprinkle as his
security in the penalty of \$1000 - with the
usual conditions. A copy of the order of the
Court appointing the said Stuhl his guardian
and a transcript of said bond bond is
filed herewith and prayed to be considered
with this bill. Yam Arator states that
while he was an infant large sums of money
came into the hands of his said guardian paid
to him by the administrator of his fathers estate
the precise amount is not known with exactitude
by Yam Arator but believes there were some
three or four hundred dollars and no
part thereof has ever been expended by said
guardian for Yam Arators benefit. Yam
Arator is now 22 years of age and since
he attained his majority he frequently
applied to said Stuhl for a settlement and
payment of what was due him. He got fair
promises and many evasions but has been unable

hitherto to obtain either a settlement or payment
of what is due him or any part thereof, and
is driven into equity for relief his prayer
is that the said Alexander D. Smith and
Ira G. Sprinkle his said security be made
defendants to this bill that they answer
the statements upon oath and that defendant
Smith specially answer as to all the sums
received by him as guardian &c. when
received and if he made any legitimate
disbursements set forth the same - that on
a hearing if it appears necessary that
an account be ordered to ascertain
how much is due him brother and
a decree be rendered against defendants
for the same and grant general
relief may seem wise to

Hogan & Bidmen

Ira G. Sprinkle at al
ads

Joseph A. Suttles

The defendants say that the plaintiffs bill is not
sufficient in law.

Sharp & Miller

for Defts.

(H. 12)

Joseph A Little

vs { Bill - Co

A D Shurtliff

1872 April 1st Erector & Decease nisi
" May Decease nisi continued for
hearing by Cliff.
" May Term Court.
" June Court.
" July Decease & continued.
" Sheriff, Sept, Oct, Nov & Dec Court.
1873 Jan. Continued
" Feb Decease Final.

Co.	6.74
Ch.	15.00
S.	2.50
W.	.50
Court.	8.00
	<hr/>
	\$ 32.74
Exp.	1.50
	<hr/>
	\$ 35.74

To the Honorable Henry J. Morgan Judge of the
County Court of Lee County

The Answer of Alexander D. Stout and Ira
G. Sprinkle to the bill of Complaint filed against
them by Joseph A. Suttles respectfully represents that
it is true that respondent Alexander D. Stout at
the August term of the County Court of Lee County
in the year 1866 was appointed Guardian for the
plaintiff and the said Ira G. Sprinkle became
his security as such and both signed a bond
bearing that date in the penalty of \$600.00 Con-
ditioned according to law. But your respond-
ents deny that any thing whatever went into respondent
A. D. Stouts hands as Guardian for Complainant
to the best of his knowledge, recollection, and belief
except two bonds on himself which he had pre-
viously executed to John W. Muncy late Guardian
of the plaintiff one of which was executed for \$205.00
and was dated and due on the 10th day of February
1863 and the other was executed for \$50.00 and was
dated and due on the 8th day of May 1863 and your
respondents herewith file the said notes or bonds
as part of this answer marked respectively (1) & (2).

Your respondents claim that the said bonds were
liable to be scaled they having been executed for Con-
federate money as respondent Stout now remembers
except about \$25.00 of which was Virginia money,
and this is all that he had as effects belonging to
plaintiff and nothing whatever went into respondents
hands since he became the Guardian of plaintiff.
Respondents will here state that on the 21st day of
August 1854 the County Court of Lee County appointed
one Rebecca Suttles as Guardian for Complainant who
together with one Robert W. Muncy and one Francis
H. Muncy executed a bond in the penalty of \$800.00

Conditioned according to law - a Copy of Said order of Court and Bond are also here with filed marked respectively (3) & (4) and made a part of this answer. Respondents further state that afterwards about the 20th day of March 1855 the Said John Muncy was appointed Guardian of Complainant who together with Said Robert W. Muncy and One Andrew Baumgarner as his Securities executed a Bond as such in the penalty of \$500.00 Conditioned according to law and by which it will be seen that Rebecca Suttles ~~Plaintiff~~ was appointed Plaintiffs' Guardian on the 21st day of August 1854 and John Muncy was appointed his Guardian on the 20th day of March 1855 and respondent Stout was appointed his Guardian on the 20th day of August 1866 and the delinquencies that have occurred are principally if not altogether chargeable to his former Guardians and their Securities and more particularly chargeable to his late Guardian John Muncy and his Securities as he acted as Plaintiffs' Guardian from the 20th day of March 1855 and upto the 20th day of August 1866 the time on which respondent ~~John Muncy~~ Stout was appointed. Your respondents will file a Cross Bill against the late Guardians and their Securities when it becomes necessary for them to do so but they are advised that as the ~~Plaintiff~~ is now of age and can sue on his own behalf it is proper for him to proceed against them and not make these respondents liable for more than what actually went into A. D. Stouts hands as Guardian which is only the scaled value of the two Bonds aforesaid which he owed the late guardian at the time he became Guardian himself

and since then he has paid for necessarys the following ^{which he prays may be allowed as credits} payments to wit, he paid for plaintiff to E. Flanary & Son \$5.80 on the 17th day of Jan 1868 and he paid to E. Flanary & Sons \$7.00 on the 23rd of Jan. 1868 and he paid to plaintiff \$41.96 on the 20th day of April 1870 receipts of all which are herewith filed as part of this answer marked respectively (5) (6) & (7). ^{and he also gave Complainant an order to John Muncy for \$18.00 in answer to the interrogatories contained in the prayer of Complainants Bill} Respondent Stout states that he only received as Guardian the two notes on himself and never did as Guardian receive into his hands any other effects of Complainant as he now remembers the money he received for ~~Said notes~~ which he executed said notes was received by him long before he became the Plaintiffs' Guardian and was Confederate money as he now remembers except \$25.00 and that was received by him at the date of said notes to wit \$205.00 on the 10th day of February 1863 when Confederate money was \$4.00 to \$1.00 and \$50.00 on the 8th day of May 1863 when Confederate money was from \$5.50 to \$6.00 for \$1.00 Your respondent does not remember any other legitimate disbursements he made than what he claims above as stated in the receipts herewith filed, ^{and what he received under the order to John Muncy} and under these circumstances respondents ~~only~~ claim that they are entitled to the benefit of the Sealing laws and that they can be only held accountable for the intrinsic value of the money that was in his hands at the time. And having answered they pray the plaintiffs bill be dismissed with their Costs &c.

Sharp & Miller
for Respondents

Sanction to before me by Alexander D. Stout May 3rd 1872.

James W. Cross Clerk, Lee Co. Court.

SVm

A. D. Stout et. al.

ads Answer

Joseph A. Suttle

Filed at July Term 1872.
by leave of the Court.

James W. Orr, Clerk.

I do John W. Quincy Late
Guardian for Joseph P. Pullis
the sum of two hundred
and Nine Dollars. for
Value Recd. of him with my
my hand and Seal this 1st
day of February 1862
Test
O. M. W. Able

84. for 81.0

820

Note

82005

11

Due John W. Muncy late guard-
-ean for Joseph A. Suttles the sum
of Fifty Dollars for value received
of him witness my hand & seal
this 8th day of May 1863,
test

John H. Allen

(Seal)

25-50 to 80. for 81-00

(2)

• •

20064
5.0
2055
87

20064

5.0

1
1
1

Joseph A. Suttles
vs. } Notes &
} Accounts,
A. J. Stout

Settlement postponed
from Jan'y 17th to Jan'y
23rd 1873.

Joseph Luttis
against
A. D. Stark and

Plff { In lty
Defth }

This cause came on this day to be heard on
the papers formally read and report of Master
Commissioner James M. Orr. And was argued by
Counsel. And said report being filed more than
ten days and unexcepted to, is confirmed.

On consideration it is adjudged ordered and decreed
that the plaintiff recover against the defendants
Alexander D. Stark and John G. Spinked, the sum
of \$347.73 with interest thereon from the 31st day
of August 1871 till paid and ~~and the costs of the suit~~
Subject to a credit of \$60.25 paid on the 1st day of October
1872. It is further ordered that the defendants pay
to the plaintiff his costs here expended. And the
cause is stricken from the docket.

Joseph A. Luttis

of { Decem-final

A. L. Strickland

Entered Order Book page
213 + 214.

James W. Orr. Clerk.

Enter this Decree

H. J. Morgan

Feb 1873

Joseph A. Luttis Off

21
A. D. Stuart vs. Deft

In by

This cause came on this day to be heard on the bill of the plaintiff the answer of the defendants ~~and by special~~ with application and was argued by counsel. An order was made that it is adjudged ordered and decreed that ~~Commissioners be appointed~~ proceed and

James H. Carr — — — be appointed a Special Commissioner whose duty it is made to settle and adjust the guardianship account of the defendant Stuart with the plaintiff his ward. He will report the true amount, if any, due from said guardian, and report upon any matter required by the parties or which he may deem pertinent. And report to this Court. And the cause is continued

Sept. 2 Little

V. } Secum

A. L. Hunt et al

Entered Order Book page 79.
J. H. Orr, clerk.

Enter this Decree

Henry Morgan

July 16 1872

To the Honorable Henry J. Morgan Judge of Lee County
Court: The undersigned, who was, by a decree of your
honor rendered on the 16th day of July 1872, in the chancery
cause of Joseph A. Suttle against A. D. Stout & al, appointed
a special Commissioner, whose duty it was made, to
state, settle and adjust the Guardianship account of said
Stout with said Suttle his infant ward, and to report the
true amount, if any, due from said Guardian, and to
report upon any matters required by the parties, or deemed
pertinent by himself, having discharged the duties thus
assigned me, respectfully report: That I gave notice by
posting a notice at the Court house door, and through the
Sheriff to the plaintiff, and defendant Orall Sprinkle, and
also verbal notice to defendant's Counsel, that on the 17th
day of January 1873, at the Clerk's office of Lee County Court
I would proceed to execute the requirements of said decree
but being unable to proceed on that day, I adjourned the
taking of said account from time to time, (of which adjourn-
ment the said parties had notice) until this the 1st day
of February 1873, when in the presence of defendant's Coun-
sel I have proceeded to take the same.

The defendant A. D. Stout was at the August term 1866
of your honorable Court, appointed Guardian for the plaintiff
Joseph A. Suttle and entered into bond as such with Orall
Sprinkle his security, and in his answer to plaintiff's bill
as your Honor will perceive he asserts that nothing whatever
went into his hands as Guardian of said ward, to the best
of his knowledge, recollection and belief, except two bonds
on himself, which he had previously executed to John W. Hume.

former Guardian for said Suttle, one of which notes was for \$205.00 dated February 10th 1863, and the other for \$50.00 dated May 5th 1863 & which bonds he files with his answer to plaintiffs bill. But upon examination of said Muncys settlement, of his Guardianship account made on the first day of September 1866, I find filed in said settlement by said Muncy, as a voucher, a receipt executed on the 31st day of August 1866, by said Stout as Guardian for his said ward, to said Muncy former Guardian for \$312.90, a copy of which receipt is filed with this report marked (A) and which your Honor will see was executed some three years after said two notes or bonds were given, and from the evidence produced before me by the plaintiff, (which I have reduced to writing and is here with filed marked (B)). I am led to the conclusion that said two notes were lifted by said Stout by his executing a new note for the amount thereof, and this new note afterwards lifted by him from said Muncy, by his executing said receipt for the amount of the same, I have therefore charged said Stout in the statement of his Guardianship account (which statement is filed with this report marked (C)) with the amount of said receipt, and interest thereon from the 31st day of August 1866, the date thereof. I have made five annual statements of said account up to the 31st day of August 1871, carrying the interest forward, at the end of each year to the principal, thus making the same an interest bearing subject for the next year. I have allowed said Guardian a Commission of 7 1/2 percent and \$31.67 the amount of his first years

receipts, and credits for disbursements made by him, together
for all which are filed with said statement, numbered
as in the margin from 1 to 3 inclusive, resulting as
will be seen, by finding in his hands unaccounted
for on the 31st day of August 1871, principal & interest,
the sum of \$347.75. which will bear interest from
that date. I have carried the statement of said account
no further than the 31st day of August 1871, as the said
Joseph A. Suttle had at that time attained the age of 21
years, and I suppose the duties of said Stant as his Guardian
had at that time terminated, except his duty to then
account to his said ward for what was in his hands as
such Guardian. All of which is respectfully submitted
Feb. 1st 1873.

James W. Orr, Special
Commissioner

The allowance by Com^r J Worr of 7 1/2 per cent
 upon the amount of the receipt which defendant
 Stock executed having the former guardian is
 excepted to. Commission is not allowed for
 what goes into the hands of a guardian but
 on what he pays out. It is his disbursements upon
 which commissions should be allowed
 July 16th 1873 H. G. W. P. M. C. M.

James A. Swette
 Com^r Report

H. L. Street et al

Filed February 17th 1873.

James W. M. C. M.

Com^r Fee \$8.00

I have received from A. D. Stout my late Guardian the sum
of \$60.25 - to be credited on his Guardianship account, which
amount was received by me on the 1st-day of October 1872.
Given under my hand this the day of 1873.

Joseph A. Suttle

Joseph A Suttle
Ex Recd \$60.25-
A. D. Stout, Guard

August 31st 1866. Received of John W. Muncy, former Guardian
of Joseph A. Suttles, Infant child of Isaac Suttles Deceased, the
sum of three hundred and twelve dollars and ninety cents a
part of the money in his hands due the said Joseph A. Suttles
A. D. Stout Present Guardian
of said Joseph A. Suttles.

A copy

Teste James W. Orr. Clerk.

Alex D Stant Guardian
Copy of Receipt \$312.90
3
John W. Humey Late Guard

(A)

Lee County Court clerk's office the 1st day of February 1873.

Joseph A Suttle

Plaintiff

against

A. L. Stout & Ira C. Sprinkle

Defendants

In Chancery.

The Depositions of John W. Muncy & John H. Allen witnesses on behalf of the plaintiff, taken before James W. Orr special Commissioner in the above styled Cause.

John W. Muncy a witness of lawful age being first duly sworn deposes and says, I was on the 20th day of March 1865, appointed by the County Court of Lee County Virginia, Guardian for Joseph A Suttle minor heir of Isaac Suttle decd. and qualified & entered in to bond as such, before said Court, on that day, I ~~was~~ afterwards resigned my said office and A. L. Stout was appointed Guardian for said minor. During my term as Guardian for my said ward, same estate came into my hands belonging to said ward part of which was paid out by me as Guardian, ^{for necessary expenditures,} as aforesaid, and on the 31st day of August 1866, I took from said Stout then Guardian for said ward, a receipt for \$812.90 which was the amount at that date, of a note which said Stout had executed to me for the amount of two notes given by him to me as guardian for said ward, for money loaned him, one of which notes was dated the 10th day of February 1863 and was for the sum of \$205.00, and the other was dated May the 8th 1863, and was for the sum of \$50.00 These last two mentioned notes are the notes filed in the suit of Joseph A Suttle against said Stout & Ira C. Sprinkle, and for which said Stout executed a new note, and which new note he lifted from me, by executing said receipt as Guardian for the said sum of \$812.90 which receipt I filed as a voucher before the Commissioner in the settlement of my Guardianship account. In regard to the kind of money loaned said Stout by me, for which he executed the two notes dated respectively February 10th 1863 and May 8th 1863, my best recollection now is that said money was nearly all, if not quite all, State bank money though there may have been a few dollars of the amount Confederate

money, but the understanding between myself and said Stant at the time of said loan, was that he should account for such money as would pay and satisfy the said Joseph A. Suttle, that he should return or account for the amount loaned him, dollar for dollar, which he agreed to do, stating that he expected to use the money in a way that it would be worth to him dollar for dollar, or as much as any money, I informing him at the time, that he nor ~~no~~ other man could get the money in any ^{other} way, than with that understanding.

And further this deponent saith not. *J. H. Allen*

John H. Allen another witness of lawful age being first duly sworn, deposes and says:— I was present on the 8th day of May 1863, and wrote a note for A. D. Stant to John W. Muncy late Guardian for Joseph A. Suttle for \$5.00, money loaned to said Stant by said Muncy on that day, and my best recollection now is that the money for which said note was given, was nearly all, if not quite all State bank money, and the understanding between the parties at the time, as stated in my presence was that said Stant was to account for the said sum of money dollar for dollar, the said Muncy repeating more than once that he would not let him nor any other man have the money in any other way than with the understanding that he should account for the same dollar for dollar, and the said Stant took the money, as I understood it, in that way, stating that it would answer his purposes.

Sometime after the said 8th day of May 1863, I do not now remember the date, I by request of John W. Muncy and A. D. Stant made a calculation of the amount of the said \$5.00 note and another note of \$205.00 held by said Muncy as late Guardian for said Suttles, on said Stant; and the said Stant thereupon executed to said Muncy a new note for the amount of said two notes, which note was written, and witnessed by me as I now

remember, but I do not now remember the amount, or the date thereof, And further this deponent saith not.

John H. Allen

John H. Allen one days attendance, 50 cts.

The foregoing Depositions were taken and subscribed before me on the day, at the place, and in the case above stated.

James W. Orr, Special
Commissioner.

Joseph A. Suttle
vs Depositions
A. D. Stout et al

(B)

Alexander D. Stout Guardian for Joseph A. Suttle
 To his said ward

1867

Dr.

Aug 31st	To this sum received from John W. Muncy former Guardian for said ward, as shown by your receipt to him dated August 31st 1866.		\$12.90
"	Interest on same to August 31st 1867.		18.77
"	Total amount of Debits August 31st 1867.		\$31.67
	By 7 1/2 per cent Commission on \$31.67 and this year receipts \$24.57		
"	this sum unaccounted for this year to square	\$31.67	\$31.67

1868

Aug 31st	To this sum unaccounted for as per last statement		\$31.67
"	Interest on same to August 31st 1868.		18.40
"	Total amount of Debits August 31st 1868.		\$50.07
1.	By amount paid E. Flanery & Son Jan 11th 1868.	\$5.50	
"	Interest on same to August 31st 1868.	.21	
2.	" Amount paid E. Flanery & Son Jan 25th 1868.	7.00	
"	Interest on same to August 31st 1868.	.28	
"	this sum unaccounted for this year to square	\$11.74	\$50.07

1869

Aug 31st	To this sum unaccounted for as per last statement		\$11.74
"	Interest on same to August 31st 1869.		15.71
"	Total amount Debits August 31st 1869.		\$27.45
"	By this sum unaccounted for this year to square	\$27.45	\$27.45

1870

Aug 31st	To this sum unaccounted for as per last year's statement		\$27.45
"	Interest on same to August 31st 1870.		19.54
"	Total amount of Debits August 31st 1870.		\$46.99
3.	By this sum paid your said ward April 20th 1870.	\$21.97	
"	Interest on same to Aug 31st 1870.	.47	
"	this sum unaccounted for this year to square	\$28.55	\$46.99

1871

Aug 31st

To this sum unaccounted for as per last years Statement

\$325.05

Interest on same to August 31st 1871.

19.68

Total amount of Debits August 31st 1871.

344.73

By this sum unaccounted for this year to year

\$344.73 344.73

A.D. Stout Guardian for
Joseph A. Dull
By ^{James W. Orr} Settlement of his Account
James W. Orr Special Comr.

(6)

A. D. Stout Guard

and 4c

Vouchers from

1 to 3.

A. D. Stout
Bought E. Flanary & Son
For J. A. Little
Amount of Bond. \$5.80

E. Flanary & Son

Jan 17, 1868

(1)

(57)

Received of N. D. Stout Treasurer
for Joseph H. Dutton the amount
of the within account this
17th January 1868

E. Flannery & Sons.

Jan 23^d 1868
acc - A & Stout dr
to G Fluney & sons

6 yds James at 6f	600
1 shirt bosom 35	35
3 yds domestic 11	50
Thread and buttons	15

Received of A & Stout 7 00
guardian for Joseph A & wife The above
account this 23 Jan 1868
G Fluney & sons

(2)

79

April the 20th 1870
Received of A. D. Stout guardian of
Joseph A Dutton Twenty one dollars
and Ninty seven cents for clothing
and sustenance for which I shall
be accounted for in a settlement
with the Commissioner

Attes
A. D. Stout

his
Joseph X A Dutton
mark

Joseph A Sullivan
Sr

Receipt
\$21.97

(B)

\$1.00 Paid

Know all Men by these Presents, that we, Alexander S. Stout
Ira G. Sprinkle

of Lee county, are held and firmly bound unto THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, in the
sum of *Six Hundred*

dollars;

to which payment, well and truly to be made to the said Commonwealth, we bind ourselves, jointly
and severally, firmly by these presents, Witness, our hands and seals, this *20th*

day of *August* 186*6*.

THE CONDITION OF THE ABOVE OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas the above bound

Alexander S. Stout
by the County Court of Lee County Guardian for *Joseph A. Suttles*
~~minor heirs at law of~~ *infant Child of Isaac Suttles* deceased;

Now, if the said *Alexander S. Stout*

shall faithfully perform and discharge the duties of

office of *Guardian* as *according to law* aforesaid, then

the above obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

~~Acknowledged in Court.~~

\$1.00 stamp

A. S. Stout (Seal)
Ira G. Sprinkle (Seal)
mark

A copy
Listed as per N. O. R. Clerk.

Alexander D. Stair

To: Copy of Band as Guar-
dian
Joseph A. Shuttle

(1)

Know all Men by these Presents, that we,

of Lee county, are held and firmly bound unto THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, in the
sum of *Five Hundred* dollars;

to which payment, well and truly to be made to the said Commonwealth, we bind ourselves jointly
and severally, firmly by these presents. Witness, our hands and seals, this *20th*
day of *March* 18*65*.

THE CONDITION OF THE ABOVE OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas the above bound

ha been appointed Guardian for

to Joseph H. Suttles orphan of Isaac Suttles

~~minor heirs at law of~~

deceased;

Now, if the said

shall faithfully ^{execute} perform and discharge the duties of

office of *Guardians according to law*

^{This} the above obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

~~Acknowledged in Court.~~

John Muncy {Seal}
Robert W. Muncy {Seal}
Andrew Baumgarner {Seal}

A copy

Teste James W. Orr. Clerk.

John Muncy
Guardian Bond,

(2)

Virginia

At a Court of Quarter Sessions begun
and held for Lee County at the Courthouse
thereof on Monday the 21st day of August
1854.

The Court doth assign Rebecca Suttles guardian
to Joseph Suttles orphan of Isaac Suttles deceased
and thereupon the said Rebecca Suttles with Robert W.
Muney and Francis A. Muney her securities entered
into and acknowledged a Bond in the penalty
of \$800 with Condition according to law.

Order appointing
Rebecca Suttles
Guardian & C,

(3)

Know all Men by these Presents, that we,

of Lee county, are held and firmly bound unto THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, in the
sum of *Eight hundred* dollars;

*and every of us, our and every of our heirs
Executors and Administrators*
to which payment, well and truly to be made to the said Commonwealth, we bind ourselves jointly
and severally, firmly by these Presents. Witness, our hands and seals, this *21st*

day of *August* 18*54*.

THE CONDITION OF THE ABOVE OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas the above bound *Rebecca*
Suttle ha been appointed Guardian for=
to Joseph Suttle orphan of Isaac Suttles
~~minor heirs at law of~~ ~~deceased~~

Now, if the said

~~executors~~
shall faithfully perform and discharge the duties of

office of

Guardian according to law then
~~afesaid, then~~

the above obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

~~Acknowledged in Court~~

Rebecca Suttles *Seal*
Robert W. Muncy *Seal*
Francis A. Muncy *Seal*

A copy
Teste James W Orr. Clerk.

Rebecca Suttles

as } Copy of
Guardian Bond

Joseph Suttles orphan
of Isaac Suttles.

(4)

Virginia,

At a County Court, continued and held for Lee County, at
the Court House thereof on
Joseph A. Suttle

14th day of July 1872.

Plff.

vs
In Chancery.

A. D. Stout et al

Defk.

On consideration whereof it is adjudged ordered and de-
creed that James H. Orr be appointed a special Commissioner whose duty
it is made to state, settle and adjust the Guardianship account of
the Defendant Stout with the Plff. His report he will report the
true amount if any due from said Guardian, and report upon
any matters required by the parties, or which he may deem pertinent
and report to this Court and the cause is continued.

Attest

Teste James H. Orr, Clerk.

Notice!

On Saturday, the 17th day of January 1873, at the Clerk's Office of the
County Court of Lee County, I will proceed to execute the above
Decree, at which time and place all persons interested are required
to attend with their evidence.

Given under my hand this the 20th day of December 1872.

James H. Orr,
Special Commissioner

Joseph A. Suttle
vs ³Comes Notice (4 copies)
A. D. Stout et al

Executed C. L. Hamblen
Sgt

Shiffs Fee \$1.50

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF LEE COUNTY--GREETING!

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

Alexander D Stout & Isaac S Sprinkle

to appear before the Judge of our County Court, for Lee County, at the Court-House, in the Clerk's Office, at Rules to de holden for said Court, on the first Monday in *April* next to answer a bill in Chancery, exhibited in our said Court, against *them* by *Joseph A Suttle*

And have then there this writ. Witness, *James* ~~JOHN~~ W. ORR, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House, this *1st* day of *March* 1872, in the *96th* year of the Commonwealth.

James W Orr. Clerk.

It except the legal services of the within, order
afforded an answer in order Mar 30th 1872

^{L.A. & P.}
Joseph A Suttle
vs 3 Spa in Chancery.

A. D. Stout et al

April Rules 1872

Excluded by delivering
Each of the within name
an at law Official
Copy Wm Baker
for C S Hamblen S.C.

(Tax Paid)

The Commonwealth of Virginia :

To the Sheriff of Lee

County---Greeting:

We command you to summon

John H Allen

James W Orr Special Commissioner

to appear before ~~the Judge of our County Court for Lee county~~, at the Court House on the
1st day of *February* 1873 ~~term next~~, to testify and the truth

to speak on behalf of

Joseph A Suttle

in a certain matter of controversy depending in ^{*Lee county*} our ~~said~~ Court, between *said Suttle*

Plaintiff and

A. D. Stout et als

Defendants. And this *he* shall in no wise omit under the penalty of sixteen dollars.

And have then there this writ. Witness, JAS. W. ORB, Clerk of our said Court, at the

Court House, this

31st

day of

January

1873,

in the *97th*

year of the Commonwealth.

James W Orr Clerk

Joseph A. Dutton

vs. Spa

A. G. Stant et als

1st Day February 1873

I accept legal service
of the within Spa, Jan'y
31st 1873.

John H. Allen